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**ABSTRACT**

In this paper, the authors deal with *Raphitoma corbis* (Potiez et Michaud, 1838) (Gastropoda Conoidea), a poorly-known taxon differently interpreted over time, by fixing a neotype in order to stabilize the nomenclature because the type material has been lost.

**INTRODUCTION**

The Raphitomidae Bellardi, 1875 are currently considered a well supported clade of the Conoidea (Bouchet et al., 2011). The superfamily Conoidea, with over 300 genera and 4,000 recognised species, but probably over 12,000 extant species (Bouchet, 1990; Tucker, 2004), represents the largest radiation of the entire phylum Mollusca. In a work on the phylogeny of the group based on a cladistic analysis of foregut morphology, Taylor et al. (1993) have highlighted the rampant homoplasy in the characters of shell and radula in conoideans. Accordingly, they have rearranged most of the conoideans into two families: Conidae, comprising Coninae and 4 subfamilies traditionally considered as “turrids”, and Turridae s.s., including some of the traditional “turrids”. More recently, Puillandre et al. (2008) and Bouchet et al. (2011), have provided a major update of conoidean classification based on DNA phylogeny. Although a larger taxonomic coverage would be desirable to further stabilize the molecular phylogeny, however, the position of the Raphitomidae as a clade of the Conoidea is sufficiently supported.

The taxon Raphitomidae is based on the genus *Raphitoma* Bellardi, 1847 which was introduced comprising 30 fossil and Recent species (Bellardi, 1847: 85), previously classified in various genera (such as *Pleurotoma* Lamarck, 1799 and *Clathurella* Carpenter, 1857). Among the modern authors, Nordsieck (1977) listed 30 europea species of Raphitomidae plus several subspecies and varieties. In the revision of the Mediterranean Raphitomidae that we are currently carrying out, we estimated about 50 mediterranean species, some of which are still to be described.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Our approach was exclusively based on shell morphology due to the almost total lack of anatomical data.

Specimens were studied from materials housed in several European museums and from private collections (see Abbreviations and Acronyms). Unless
otherwise stated, the shells originated after sorting bioclastic sand samples collected between 0-80 m depth.

SEM images were taken by Andrea Di Giulio at the “LIME” (Interdepartmental Laboratory of Electron Microscopy - Roma Tre University). Light photographs were taken (if not otherwise stated) by Stefano Bartolini using a Canon EOS 400D digital photocamera, with standard objective 50 mm + adapted objectives (25 and 12.5 mm) for a 16 and 8 mm vintage cine camera and by Riccardo Gianuzzi Savelli using a Canon EOS 45D mounted on a Kyowa binocular microscope, assembled with Helicon Focus 6 software and background removed with Clipping Magic.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS. HUJ: Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Israel); MCZR: Museo Civico di Zoologia (Roma, Italy); SMNH: Swedish Museum of Natural History (Stockholm, Sweden); CRO: Paolo Crovato (Napoli, Italy); MAR: Alessandro Margelli (S. Maria a Monte, Italy); MON: Giuseppe Monti (Brisighella, Italy); NOF: Italo Nofroni (Roma, Italy); OCC: Rosario Occhipinti (Ragusa, Italy); PAG: Attilio Pagli (Vinci, Italy); PAO: Paolo Paolini (Livorno, Italy); PAL: Alberto Palmeri (Palermo, Italy); PEN: Anselmo Peñas (Vilanova i la Geltrú, Spain); PIS: Michele Pisanu (Quartu S. Elena, Italy); PUS: Francesco Pusateri (Palermo, Italy); RUF: Stefano Rufini (Anguillara, Italy); RUG: Ruggero Ruggeri† (Roma, Italy); SER: Gabriele Sercia (Palermo, Italy); SOS: Maurizio Sossu (Genova, Italy); d: diameter; h: height; sh: shell/s; Std: standard deviation (DS); w: widht.

RESULTS

Systematics

Citation of unpublished names is not intended for taxonomic purposes.

Classis GASTROPODA Cuvier, 1795
Subclassis CAENOGASTROPODA Cox, 1960
Ordo NEOGASTROPODA Wenz, 1938
Superfamilia CONOIDEA Fleming, 1822
Familia RAPHITOMIDAE Bellardi, 1875
Genus Raphitoma Bellardi, 1847

Type species. Pleurotoma hystrix Cristofori et Jan, 1832 (nomen nudum, validated by Bellardi, 1847 as “Pleurotoma hystrix Jan.”) by subsequent designation (Monterosato, 1872: 54).

Raphitoma corbis (Potiez et Michaud, 1838) [Pleurotoma] Figs. 1, 2; 4–22

Pleurotoma corbis Potiez et Michaud, 1838: 444 (sp. 8)
Pleurotoma corbis Desmoulins, 1842: 63 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Pleurotoma corbis Reeve, 1843, pl. 16 sp. 136 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Pleurotoma corbis Potiez, 1844: 52, pl. 35 figs. 1,2

Pleurotoma corbis Bellardi, 1847: 87 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Pleurotoma corbis Jay, 1850: 325 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Pleurotoma corbis var. atra Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. cinerea Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. nebulosa Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. flavida Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. major Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. minor Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis var. textilis Monterosato, 1878: 106 (nom en nudum)
Pleurotoma corbis B.D.D., 1883: 91 (as synonym of Pleurotoma laviae)
Pleurotoma corbis Tryon, 1884: 335 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Clathurella corbis Locard, 1886: 114
Clathurella corbis Locard, 1891a: 6
Clathurella corbis Locard, 1891b: 106
Clathurella corbiformis Locard, 1892: 65 (emendatio invalida)
Pleurotoma corbis Carus, 1893: 425 (as synonym of Pleurotoma purpurea)
Philbertia corbiformis Nordsieck, 1968: 177 (As synonym of Philbertia densa)
Raphitoma (Philbertia) corbis Nordsieck, 1977: 57, pl.18 fig. 143

Figures 1–8. Shells of *Raphitoma corbis* (Potiez, 1838). Fig. 1: Neotype, Palermo, Italy (MCZR-M-16806), h: 11.6 mm; Fig. 2: original drawing by Potiez (1838); Fig. 3: original drawing by Philippi (1844) of *Raphitoma laviæ*; Fig. 4: Neotype, details of the sculpture. Fig. 5: Roussillon (France), h: 12.3 mm (MNHN, labelled by Locard as *P. atropurpurea*; Fig. 6: Isola delle Femmine (Italy), h: 12.1 (slender form); Fig. 7: Golfo di Termini Imerese (Italy), h: 9.1 (broad form); Fig. 8: Isola delle Femmine (Italy), h: 9.4 mm (with 22 axial ribs).
Potiez, 1838: “Pleur. Testa fusiformi, fulva, longitudinaliter costata, transversimque regulariter sulcata; anfractus gradatis, parte superiore angulatis; sutura profunda; aperture elongata; cauda longiuscula, extus sulcata, nodis laevibus cincta; columella valde arcuata. Longuer... 4–5 mil diamètre dernière tour 1–1.5 mil. La Méditerranée”.

TYPE MATERIAL. Neotype is here designed from MCZR-M-16806 labelled “Ph. atropurpurea” Figs. 1, 4).

TYPE LOCALITY. Palermo (1886).

EXAMINATED MATERIAL. The type material and the following one: Spain. Alboran, 3 sh (PEN); Costas Mijas (Malaga), 4 sh (PEN); Begur (Girona), 1 sh (PEN); Cabo de Palos, -30 m, 1 sh (PUS).

France. St. Raphael, 1 sh (PUS).

France, Corse. Bastia, 2 sh (PUS).

Italy. Capo Pino (Sanremo), 1 sh (RUF); Riva Trigoso (Genova), 1 sh (SOS); Baratti (Livorno), 2 sh (PAO); 1 sh (NOF); Livorno, 1 sh (MON); Castiglioncello (Livorno), 1 sh (MAR), 1 sh (PAO); Punta Ala, 2 sh (PAG); Capraia Island, 1 sh. (PAG); Santa Marinella (Roma), 1 sh (RUG); Nettuno (Roma), 1 sh (OCC); Terracina (Latina), 1 sh (PAG); Civitavecchia, 1 sh (RUF); Napoli, 2 sh (PUS); Puolo (Napoli), 2 sh (RUF); Procida Island, 1 sh (PAL); Punta Pioppeto (Procida Isl.) -6 m, 1 sh (CRO); Ponza Island -35 m, 1 sh (PAG).

Sardinia. Poetto (Cagliari), 1 sh (PIS).

Sicily. Palermo, 7 sh (PUS), 12 sh (HUJ coll. Coen lot 8079, sub nomine Philbertia purpurea; Golfo di Palermo, 13 sh (PUS); Isola delle Femmine (Palermo), 3 sh (PUS), 5 sh (SER); S. Vito Lo Capo (Trapani), 7 sh (PUS); Isola Lachea (Acitrezza, Catania), 9 sh (SMNH, lot 73197D).

DESCRIPTION. In square brackets the data of the neotype. Shell fusiform-acute, of medium size for the genus, height 8–12 mm, mean 9.75 (DS: 1.10) [11.6], width 3.4–4.5 mm, mean 3.94, DS: 0.32 [4.3], h/d: 2.18–2.88 (mean 2.47), DS 0.15 [2.68].

Protoconch multispiral of 2.4 convex whorls, height 395 µm, width 347 µm, protoconch I of 1 whorls, width 164 µm, covered by thin cancellations, protoconch II with a diagonally cancellate sculpture starting after a short zone under the suture with fine curved axial threads. The last whorl with very short and weak keel before the onset of the teleoconch. Protoconch-teleoconch boundary strongly flexuose, opisthocline.

Teleoconch of 6–8, mean 7 [7.5], slightly convex whors and scaled, thin, suture incised, sculpture prominent. No microgranules in the surface. Axial sculpture of 14–22 mean 18.2, Std: 1.86 [19] slightly opisthocline, equidistant ribs, and interspaces larger than the ribs. The ribs are more evident than the cordlets.

Spiral sculpture 6–7 [7] cordlets above the aperture. Sometime one or two additional weak cordlets can be present next the suture. Cancellation rectangular, with strong and elongated tubercles at the intersection of axials and spirals. Subsutural ramp narrow.

Columella simple, slightly sinuous anteriorly, gently angled posteriorly. The siphonal channel is short and wide at the end. Outer lip with 9–14 strong inner plicate denticles, mean 10.8 [10]. Sometime the last denticle can be double. Siphonal fasciole with 7–9, mean 8, strong nodulose cords [9]. Colour from firm brown to light hazel, rarely even some costae are equally clear. Sometime in the last whorl there is a lighter cordlet on the suture.

Soft parts: unknown.

DISTRIBUTION. West and Central Mediterranean.

COMPARATIVE NOTES. Specimens of light-colored R. atropurpurea (Locard et Caziot, 1900) (see Pusateri et al., 2017: 174 Fig. 8A) may be confused with R. corbis with light suture cordlet (see Fig. 6), but R. atropurpurea is distinguished by a less acute and scalar profile, to be more robust, and to the lower number of nodulose cords on the siphonal fasciole (Fig. 23).

Raphitoma corbis can be confused with specimens of light color of R. laviae (Philippi, 1846), but it is distinguished by its larger size, by the more acute and scalar profile and by its elongated tubercles (Fig. 24).

It also differs from R. lineolata (Bucquoy, Dautzenberg et Dollfuss, 1883) for the more acute profile, lower h/w ratio (2.47 vs 2.67), the lower transparency inside the mouth and the almost total lack of whitish blotches (Fig. 25).

Moreover, R. densa (Monterosato, 1884) differs due to the constant presence of ash blotches missing in R. corbis (Fig. 26).
Figures 9–21. Shells of *Raphitoma corbis* (Potiez, 1838). Fig. 9: Civitavecchia (Italy), h: 7.9 mm (with 15 axial ribs); Fig. 10: Boozcada Is. (Turkey), h: 11.8 mm; Fig. 11: Bay of Carini (Italy), h: 8.7 mm; Fig. 12: Isola delle Femmine (Italy), h: 9.3 mm; Fig. 13: Isola d’Elba (Italy), h: 11.7; Fig. 14: Secca Murelle -50 m, Montalto di Castro (Italy), h: 10 mm; Fig. 15: Tuscan Archipelago (Italy), h: 6.5 mm; Fig. 16: Gulf of Termini Imerese (Italy), h: 10.3 mm; Fig. 17: Isola delle Femmine (Italy), h: 10.5; Fig. 18: Gulf of Termini Imerese, h: 8.7 mm; Fig. 19: Tuscan Archipelago, h: 8 mm; Fig. 20: Palermo (MCZR-M-16806), h: 12.2 mm; Fig. 21: Capo Linaro, h: 11.5.
REMARKS. The opinions of various authors on the interpretation of this species are very discordant. Especially since the types were lost during the bombing of 11 August 1944 along with all the naturalistic collections preserved at the Musée de Douai.

Moreover, the collection Michaud (still alive) was in part donated to the Musée of Lyon (now Musée des Confluences), in part passed to the city of Brive by Michaud’s son Elysée, and in part was bought by Locard (Locard, 1891: 7). Only the Lyon material remains identifiable (Boyer & Audibert, 2007), but there are no trace of P. corbis (Audibert pers. com.), the part in Brive having been lost, and the part in Locard’s collection having lost the original labels.

The original description of Potiez & Michaud (1838) is suitable for at least 4 or 5 different species of *Raphitoma*.

Monterosato (1872: 51) considers it a variety of *R. purpurea*, but, subsequently (Monterosato, 1878: 106) begins to doubt that it may be a variety of *purpurea* (?) and advances the hypothesis that it may instead be *R. laviae* Philippi, 1844.

Still Monterosato (1880: 229) confirms this synonymy, but seems somewhat hesitant since it does not assign priority to *corbis* Potiez & Michaud, 1838 on *laviae* Philippi, 1844.

Petit (1869: 154) consider *R. corbis* a young form of *Defrancia purpurea*.

Monterosato (1881) refers to the quotation from Dautzenberg (1882) and assigns the species to the genus *Homotoma* Bellardi, 1875, pointing out that *R. purpurea* “manca al Mediterraneo [is missing from the Mediterranean].”

After this date, Monterosato no longer report the *corbis* nor the sustained synonymy with *laviae*. It is possible that he was misled by the original figures of Potiez (1838) and Philippi (1844) that somehow seem quite similar and the size of the two species (4–5 mm given by Potiez and 5.5 mm given by Philippi).


Locard (1891a: 6) reports having had in his hands the original type kept at the Galerie de Douai and states that it is precisely identical to the specimen depicted by B.D.D. (1882, figs. 14, 15). He provides, for the species, a height range between 12 and 14 mm which is very different from that provided by Potiez (1838) in the original description (4–5 mm). Conversely, in the caption of the plate 35 n. 1 published in the volume of the Atlas.
(Potiez, 1844), this author indicates that the illustration is “grandeur naturelle [life-size]”, or about 12 mm. It is obvious that the measures he gave in the original description (Potiez, 1838) are completely wrong, perhaps due to a printing error.

Locard (1891b: 132) specifies that the specimen examined by him is incomplete but absolutely referable to the *Clathurella contigua* Monterosato, 1884, referring to fig. 15 of B.D.D. (1882) and assumed by Monterosato (1884), for indication, among the types of its *R. contigua*. For a complete treatment of the problem, see Pusateri & Giannuzzi-Savelli (2012), which gave a final arrangement to *R. contigua*. They fix a lectotype chosen from the original material of the author and illustrating the same sample used by B.D.D. (1882) for their figure 15 (Pusateri & Giannuzzi-Savelli, 2012) which is found to be a typical *R. atropurpurea* of about 12 mm.

Locard’s (1891b) assumption is rather strange that, subsequently, in describing with Caziot (Locard & Caziot, 1889) the *R. atropurpurea* makes no reference to the figure 15 of B.D.D. (1882). This suggests that Locard (1891b) trusted the rather confusing photograph and did not check the original material used by Dautzenberg for “Les mollusques du Roussillon” (B.D.D., 1882). This material between 1975 and 1980 has now merged into the MNHN collections (V. Héros pers. comm.).

On the basis of these statements by Locard (1891b), we checked in his collection at MNHN all the material relating to *Clathurella corbiformis* (Locard had the habit to adjective the nouns and then renaming the species thus creating a plethora of invalid emendations). We have thus found 7 lots from Saint-Raphaël, Toulon, Paulilles, Marseille, Roussillon, Saint Tropez, and Porto Pollo. To our surprise, this material, in a poor state of preservation, turns out to be a mélange of different species: *R. densa*, *R. atropurpurea*, *R. philberti* (Michaud, 1829), *R. spadiana* Pusateri et Giannuzzi-Savelli, 2012, and the only *R. corbis* present in this material (Roussillon) is labeled as “*atropurpurea*”.

This is a further proof of the fickleness of Locard’s identifications.

In conclusion, for the objective difficulties of determination (see COMPARATIVE NOTES) and a complex nomenclatural history, we consider it appropriate, even for the purpose of maintaining the nomenclatural stability, to designate a neotype.

Consequently (art. 75.3 ICZN, 1985), we have chosen a sample of the Monterosato MCZR-M-16806 collection marked with two labels: “Ph. atropurpurea” and “Palermo, 1886”.
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