

Chemical composition, antioxidant and antibacterial activities from methanolic extract of *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. (Rosaceae)

Zineb Maammeri¹, Aicha Madi¹, Nour-el-Houda Hamoud^{1,2} & Zouhir Djerrou^{1,3,*}

¹Laboratory of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Institute of Veterinary Sciences, Mentouri Brothers University Constantine 1, Algeria

²Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Nature and Life Sciences Mentouri Brothers University Constantine 1, Algeria

³Department of Nature and Life Sciences, Faculty of Sciences, University of August 20th 1955, Skikda, Algeria

*Corresponding author, e-mail: z.djerrou@univ-skikda.dz

ABSTRACT

The monogynous hawthorn or *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq (Rosaceae) is a medicinal plant used since antiquity in traditional medicine, recognized by its therapeutic virtues. This study aims to estimate the total phenols and flavonoids contents and to evaluate the antioxidant and antibacterial activity *in vitro* from the methanolic extract of the *C. monogyna* leaves. Antioxidant activity was investigated with six methods, DPPH, ABTS, galvinoxyl scavenging activities. FRAP, CUPRAC and phenanthroline assays, Total phenols and flavonoids contents were studied by Folin-Ciocalteau and aluminum colorimetric methods. The antibacterial activity of the methanolic extract was evaluated against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli* and *Streptococcus Sp* in the base of inhibition zone diameter using agar diffusion method. The findings showed a significant antioxidant activity by the methods of DPPH ($IC_{50}=28.00\pm0.97\mu\text{g/ml}$), CUPRAC ($A_{0.5}=28.79\pm2.10\mu\text{g/ml}$), ABTS ($IC_{50}=10.12\pm0.60\mu\text{g/ml}$), phenanthroline ($A_{0.5}=36.84\pm1.85\mu\text{g/ml}$), GOR ($IC_{50}=20.76\pm0.40\mu\text{g/ml}$) but this activity is absent with FRAP assay. The amount of TPC was very high in this extract ($201.47\pm0.55\mu\text{g EAG/mg}$), while the value of flavonoids was moderate ($75.48\pm5.77\mu\text{g EQ/mg}$). The methanolic extract of *C. monogyna* leaves showed a significant antibacterial potency. The present study has demonstrated that *C. monogyna* extract possess potent antioxidant capacity and a good antibacterial activity, which could be include in medicinal use.

KEY WORDS

Crataegus monogyna; phytochemical screening; antioxidant capacity/Antibacterial activity.

Received 06.12.2021; accepted 20.03.2022; published online 24.04.2022

INTRODUCTION

Crataegus monogyna Jacq, which belongs to the Rosaceae family, is known as “Hawthorn”. This medicinal herb is a small tree and shrubs naturally growing in Europe, Asia and the north of Africa and populates the mountains of the Mediterranean basin (Vikrant & Narendra, 2012). *Crataegus monogyna* is frequently used for the treatment of circulatory and respiratory system disorders, in-

somnia and stress, nervousness, sleep disorders, stomachache and sore throat. Both the fruits and flowers of hawthorns are well known in herbal folk medicine as a heart tonic, migraines, irritability and confusion (Camejo-Rodrigues et al., 2003; Novais et al., 2004; Neves et al., 2009). *Crataegus monogyna* leaves have multiple interests in industry, food and cosmetology (Mohand, 2006).

The aerial parts of this medicinal herb possess several compounds like simple fatty acids, terpe-

noid and polyphenolic contents. Globally, flavonoids, particularly flavonols and flavones, are abundant in flower buds, while proanthocyanidins are found in higher amount in unripe fruits, but the highest level of flavonoids (rutin, vitexin, vitexin-211-O-rhamnoside, and hyperoside) are found in the leaves (Sokół-Letowska et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2001).

As medicinal plant, *C. monogyna* demonstrated strong antioxidant and antibacterial activities throughout several studies. Belkhir et al. (2013) investigated the chemical composition of the phenolic extracts prepared from leaf, fruit, and syrup of *C. monogyna*. These extracts, which contain hyperoside and procyanidins as main compounds, showed high *in vitro* antioxidant and antiradical activity. Moreover, due to the high antioxidant activity of hawthorn leaf extracts, *C. monogyna* has been employed as ingredient for potential dermopharmaceutical products (João et al., 2013). Also, hawthorn aerial parts extracts showed a moderate antibacterial activity, especially against Gram-positive bacteria such as *Micrococcus flavus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Lysteria monocytogenes*. In addition, Tunisian *C. azarolus* and *C. monogyna* extracts exhibited potent antimicrobial ability in comparison to ampicillin and oxytetracycline, this activity was recorded against Gram-positive *S. aureus* and *S. faecalis* bacteria, whereas the lowest activity was against the Gram-negative *Salmonella* strain (Barros et al., 2012; Belkhir et al., 2013).

This current study aims to estimate the total phenols and flavonoids contents and to evaluate the antioxidant and antibacterial activity *in vitro* from the methanolic extract of the *C. monogyna* leaves.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material

Crataegus monogyna leaves were collected from the city of Mahmoud Bouchtata in "Skikda" province (Eastern Algeria) during the month of December 2018. The plant collection and use were in accordance with all the relevant guidelines. The plant has been identified at the department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Nature and Life Sciences Mentouri Brothers University Constantine1, Algeria, following the description of Quézel & Santa (1962). The leaves were shade-dried, powdered,

and stored in a tightly-closed container at ambient temperature in darkness for further use.

ABBREVIATIONS. DPPH: 2,2-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl. FRAP: ferric reducing/antioxidant power. GAE: gallic acid equivalent. DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide.

Extracts preparation

Crataegus monogyna leaves were prepared by maceration in hydro-alcoholic solution (methanol /water: 70:30: v/v) for 24 h at room temperature. This operation was repeated thrice with renewal solvent. The obtained extract was concentrated in Rotary Evaporator (Buchi.R-210) at a temperature equal to 40 °C in order to obtain the crude dried extract.

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)

TPC was determined using the modified Folin-Ciocalteu method of Singleton & Rossi (1965). 20µL sample (1mg/mL) was mixed with 100µL Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted ten-fold) and 75µL (75 g/L) sodium carbonate. Absorbance was measured at 740nm in the microplate reader after 2h incubation in darkness at room temperature. Results were expressed as micrograms of gallic acid equivalents per milligrams of extract (µg GAE/mg) obtained from a calibration curve of 25–500µg/mL of gallic acid.

Determination of total flavonoid content (TFC)

TFC was determined using the modified method of Topçu et al. (2007). 130 µL of methanol were transferred into a micro-plate (96-wells) containing 50 µL of each extract and then 10 µL of potassium acetate (1 M) and 10 µL of aluminum nitrate at 10% were added. The Incubation was at room temperature for 40 min. The absorbance was measured at 415 nm in the micro-plate reader. The results were expressed as mg of quercetin equivalent per gram (mg/QE/g) of extract.

Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity of extract was evaluated by employing six different models: DPPH, galvinoxyl and ABTS scavenging activities, phenanthroline, cupric and ferric reducing power assays.

DPPH Free Radical Scavenging

DPPH scavenging activity was determined spectrophotometrically by the method described by (Blois, 1958). The test was realized in a 96-well micro-plate. 160 µL of prepared DPPH methanolic solution (0.1mM) was mixed with 40 µL of sample solutions at different concentrations. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured after incubation (30 min). The scavenging activity of DPPH was calculated using the following equation and the results were given as 50% inhibition concentration (IC_{50}).

$$\text{Inhibition \%} = (\text{A control} - \text{A sample}) / (\text{A control}) \times 100$$

The results were compared to the standard antioxidant BHA and BHT.

Cupric ion reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC)

Cupric reducing antioxidant capacity was determined according to the method described by (Apak et al., 2004) with slight modifications. 50µL CuCl₂ (10mM), 50µL neocuproine (7.5mM) and 60 µL of ammonium acetate buffer (1 mol/L, pH 7.0) solutions were added to each well, in a 96 well plate containing 40µL extracts at different concentrations. Absorbance was measured at 450nm after 1h. Results were given as absorbances ($A_{0.5}$ µg/mL) comparing with standards BHT, BHA and α -Tocopherol.

Reducing power assay

The reducing power was determined according to the method of Oyaizu (1986). Different concentrations of extracts were mixed with 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 1% of potassium ferricyanide K3Fe(CN)6 after incubation at 50° for 20 min; 10% of trichloroacetic acid and 10 µl ferric chloride FeCl₃ (0.1%) were added. The results were given as absorbance $A_{0.5}$. The $A_{0.5}$ value is the effective concentration at which the absorbance is 0.5 and is obtained by the equation described for DPPH assay. Ascorbic acid and α -Tocopherol were used as standards for comparison.

ABTS radical scavenging assay (acid 2,2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic)

The ABTS activity is determined by the ABTS cation decolorization assay as described by Re et al, (1999). 40 µL of each fraction at different con-

centrations was placed in micro-plate (96-wells) and then 160 µL of ABTS⁺ solution was transferred, the absorbance was measured at 734 nm after 6 min. The ABTS radical cation (ABTS⁺) was produced by mixing two aqueous solutions 7 mM stock solution of ABTS with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and allowing the mixture to stand in dark at room temperature (25 °C) for 12 h , the absorbance of the solution thus obtained is adjusted by Ethanol or H₂O to 0.700± 0.020 at 734 nm before use. The scavenging rate and IC_{50} value were calculated using the equation described for DPPH assay. α -Tocopherol and butylated hydroxylanisol (BHA) were used as standards for comparison.

Phenanthroline test

This activity was determined by the method of Szydlowska-Czerniaka et al. (2008). 30 µl of phenanthroline was deposited into micro-plate (96-wells) containing 10 µl of methanolic extract. Then 50 µl of FeCl₃ (0.2%) and 110µl MeOH were transferred. The mixture was incubated in the dark for 20 min at 30 °C. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm. Butylated hydroxylanisol (BHA) were used as standards for comparison.

Galvinoxyl radical scavenging assay (GOR)

This activity (GOR) was determined by the method of Shi et al. (2001). 160 µl of galinoxyl (0.1 mM) were placed in micro-plate (96-wells) containing 40 µl of methanolic extract. After 120 min of incubation at room temperature the absorbance was measured at 428 nm. BHT and butylated hydroxylanisol (BHA) were used as standards for comparison.

Statistical Analysis

Results are reported as mean value ± SD of three measurements; the IC_{50} and $A_{0.50}$ values were calculated by linear regression analysis, and one-way analysis of variance ANOVA to detect significant differences ($p < 0.05$) using XLSTAT.

Antibacterial activity

The antibacterial activity of the methanolic extract was evaluated using gram-positif bacteria *Streptococcus* sp. and *Staphylococcus aureus* and

gram-negative bacteria: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC75/2.5), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC235) and *Proteus mirabilis*. These pathogenic bacteria were obtained from microbiology laboratory of Abderrezak Buhara hospital at "Skikda" province. Bacterial species were cultured overnight at 37 °C in nutrient agar medium for 24 h. Subsequently, sterilized paper discs (6 mm) were impregnated with 20 µL of extract (2.5mg/ml) and placed on plates containing Mueller-Hinton agar spreaded previously with 1 ml of each bacterial suspension (10^8 CFU / ml). Negative control was prepared using DMSO and Gallic acid was used as positive control. All the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Antibacterial activity was determined by measuring the zone of inhibition in millimeters. All of the measurements were done in duplicate (Bauer et al., 1966).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Total phenolic compounds (TPC) and flavonoids contents (TFC)

From the results shown in Table 1, we can see that the hydroalcoholic extract *C. monogyna* leaves is very rich in polyphenolic compounds with value to 201.74 ± 0.55 µg GAE/mg. But the same extract possesses moderate flavonoids content with value 75.48 ± 5.77 µg QE/mg. In similar study Hamdaoui et al. (2015) have found low levels of phenols and flavonoids in the methanolic extract of *C. monogyna* leaves with values 34.82 ± 0.63 , mg EAG/g of phenols and 17.79 ± 0.55 mg EC/g of flavonoides. In this case several factors can influence the content of phenolic compounds. Recent studies have shown that extrinsic factors (such as geographical and climatic factors), genetic factors, the degree of maturation of the plant and the duration of storage have a strong influence on the content of polyphenols (Aganga & Mosase, 2001). In addition, quercetol

heterosides, rhamnosides, flavanic polymers, procyandin oligomers, chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid are the major types of phenols found in *C. monogyna* leaves (Bruneton, 1993; Fong & Bouman, 2002; Mohand, 2006).

Antioxidant activity

In this study, the antioxidant activity of *Crataegus monogyna* extract was evaluated by using DPPH scavenging, galvinoxyl and ABTS radicals scavenging, phenanthroline, ferric reducing power and CUPRAC assays. The results of antioxidant activity are shown on Table 2 and expressed in terms of IC_{50} and $A_{0.5}$.

According to the obtained values of the IC_{50} , it can be concluded that the methanolic extract has good anti-free radical activity IC_{50} rich to 28.00 ± 0.97 µg / ml. This activity is four times lower than that of the BHA IC_{50} standard (6.14 ± 0.41 µg / ml). Moreover, the antioxidant capacity detected by the ABTS method was significant with $IC_{50}=10.12 \pm 0.60$ µg/ml and close to that registered in the standard. Furthermore, the antiradical ability assessed employing the radical galvinoxyl showed considerable activity with $IC_{50} 20.76 \pm 0.40$ µg/ml. Similarly, the measurement of antioxidant capacity via a Fe (III)-phenanthroline and cuivre-neocuproine (CUPRAC assay) of the same extract showed important activity with $A_{0.5}(36.84 \pm 1.85$ µg/ml, 28.79 ± 12.10 , respectively) but this methanolic extract no activity recorded using FRAP assay. In this context, different antioxidant capacities were determined in the aerial parts of *C. ambigua* Becker subsp. *ambigua*, *C. caucasica* C. Koch, *C. meyeri* Pojark., *C. monogyna* var. *monogyna* species using FRAP, CUPRAC and ABTS assays. Values was CUPRAC>ABTS>FRAP and all the samples exhibited high antioxidant activity (Özyürek et al., 2012). In addition, Bahorun et al. (1994) have shown that the leaf extracts of *C. monogyna* dem-

Methanolic extract	TPC (µg GAE/mg) *	TFC (µg QE/mg) **
	201.74 ± 0.55	75.48 ± 5.77

Table 1. Total phenolics and flavonoids contents of methanol extract of *Crataegus monogyna* leaves. *Total phenolics is expressed as µg Gallic acid equivalents/mg of extract. **Total flavonoids are expressed as µg Quercetin equivalents/mg of extract.

Leaf samples	CUPRAC reducing ($A_{0.50}$ µg/mL)	FRAP reducing ($A_{0.50}$ µg/mL)	Phenanthroline ($A_{0.50}$ µg/mL)	ABTS radical ($IC_{0.50}$ µg/mL)	DPPH radical ($IC_{0.50}$ µg/mL)	Galvinoxyl radical GOR ($IC_{0.50}$ µg/mL)
methanolic extract	28.79±12.10	na*	36.84±1.85	10.12±0.60	28.00±0.97	36.84±1.85
BHT	9.62±0.87	/	2.24±0.17	1.59±0.03	6.55±0.59	3.32±0.18
BHA	3.64±0.19	/	0.93±0.07	1.03±0.00	6.14±0.41	5.38 ±0.06
Ascorbic acid	/	6.77±1.15	/	/	/	/
α -Tocopherol	/	34.93±2.38	/	/	/	/

Table 2. Antioxidant activity ($A_{0.50}$ µg/mL and $IC_{0.50}$ µg/mL) of *Crataegus monogyna* leaves; *na: no activity.

onstrated excellent antioxidant ability. In previous study, the ethanolic extract of *C. monogyna* leaves exhibited moderate antioxidant activity using ABTS radical scavenging (Serhat et al., 2014). Further, *C. monogyna* fruits and aerial parts reveal higher DPPH scavenging capacity (3.61 ± 0.01 and 3.34 ± 0.38 µg/mL, respectively) and higher ferric reducing antioxidant power (85.65 ± 0.09 and 95.05 ± 0.15 µmol TE(trolox equivalents)/g, respectively) (Simirgiotis, 2013). On the contrary Hamdaoui et al. (2015) found that the methanolic extract of *C. monogyna* leaves has a week activity with IC_{50} 196.70 ± 13.96 µg/ml. As it is well known, the antioxidant activity increases with high total phenols and flavonoids content, however no correlation registered both between FRAP/DPPH and FRAP/TPC/TFC. This low relationship between the antioxidant assays and phenols or flavonoids content can be due to the different antioxidant capacity. The FRAP assay is based on the capability of the substance to reduce Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ while the DPPH assay the hydrogen donating capacity to scavenge DPPH radicals (Barreira et al., 2013).

Antibacterial Activity

Methanolic extract of *C. monogyna* leaves showed significant antibacterial capacity particularly against *Staphylococcus aureus*. As results, *C. monogyna* extract exhibited the highest inhibition zone against *Staphylococcus aureus* (inhibition zone of 14 mm), against *Proteus mirabilis* (13 mm),

Bacteria species	<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Jacq. leaves 2.5mg/ml
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	Diameters of Inhibition zone (mm) 14
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	13
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (ATCC75/2.5)	12
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (ATCC235)	11
<i>Streptococcus</i> sp.	10

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of *Crataegus monogyna* expressed as the diameter of the inhibition zone in mm. Resistant (-): < 8mm. Sensitive (+): 9–14 mm. Very sensitive (++) 15–19 mm. Extremely sensitive (+++): >20 mm (Ponce et al., 2003).

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (12 mm) (Table 3). Both *E. coli* and *Streptococcus* sp. were moderately sensitive with inhibition zone of 11 mm and 10 mm, respectively, so this medicinal herb registered a good antibacterial capacity against both Gram- and Gram+ bacteria. As it is well known, many parameters can elucidate the different antibacterial abilities of diverse plants like extraction solvent, total phenols and flavonoids contents and the character of utilized bacteria (Elias et al., 1991; Fauchere, 2002; Klervi, 2005). In this case a few studies highlighted the antibacterial potential of *C. monogyna* which showed various results. Djeddi & Boutaleb (2014) indicated that the methanol extract of *C. monogyna* showed a strong antimicrobial activity against *A. baumannii* (20.00 mm) and a moderate

effect against *E. coli* (10.66 mm). In contrary, Benmalek et al. (2013) found that the extract of *C. oxyacantha* ssp *monogyna* leaves had a larger inhibiting activity on the growth of *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this current study show a significant antioxidant capacity and a potent antibacterial activity from the methanolic extract of *Crataegus monogyna* leaves. This medicinal plant registered a high levels of phenols and a moderate flavonoids content. As a whole, *C. monogyna* can be used as easily accessible source of natural antioxidants and as a possible food supplement or in pharmaceutical industry but further researches are indispensable to evaluate other biological activities and to identify its chemical profile.

REFERENCES

- Aganga A.A. & Mosase K.W., 2001. Tannin's content, nutritive value and dry matter digestibility of *Lonchocarous capussa*, *Ziziphus mucropata*, *Sclerocarya birrea*, *Kirkia acuminata* and *Rhus lancea* seeds. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 91: 107–113.
- Apak R., Guclu K., Ozyurek M. & Karademir S.E., 2004. Novel total antioxidant capacity index for dietary polyphenols and vitamins C and E, using their cupric ion reducing capability in the presence of neocuproine: CUPRAC method. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 29: 7970–7981.
- Bahorun L., Trotin F., Pommery J., Vasseur J. & Pinkas M., 1994. Antioxidant activities of *Crataegus monogyna* extracts. Planta Medica, 60: 323–328
- Barreira J.C.M., Rodrigues S., Carvalho A.M. & Ferreira I.C.F.R., 2013. Development of hydrosoluble gels with *Crataegus monogyna* extracts for topical application: Evaluation of antioxidant activity of the final formulations. Industrial Crops and Products, 42: 175–180.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.05.034>
- Barros L., Dueñas M., Carvalho A.M., Ferreira I.C. & Santos-Buelga C., 2012. Characterization of phenolic compounds in flowers of wild medicinal plants from Northeastern Portugal. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 50: 1576–1582.
- Bauer A.W., Kirby W.M.M., Sherris J.C. & Turck M., 1966. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by a Standardized Single Disk Method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 45: 493–496.
- Belkhir M., Rebai O., Dhaouadi K., Congiu F., Tuberoso C.I., Amri M. & Fattouch S., 2013. Comparative analysis of Tunisian wild *Crataegus azarolus* (yellow azarole) and *Crataegus monogyna* (red azarole) leaf, fruit, and traditionally derived syrup: Phenolic profiles and antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of the aqueous-acetone extracts. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61: 9594–9601.
- Benmalek Y., Ait Yahia O., Belkebir A. & Fardeau M.L., 2013. Anti-microbial and anti-oxidant activities of *Illicium verum*, *Crataegus oxyacantha* ssp. *monogyna* and *Allium* ceps red and white varieties. Bioengineered, 4: 244–248.
- Blois M.S., 1958. Antioxidant determinations by the use of stable free radical. Nature fruits du figuier de barbarie (*Opuntia ficus indica*). Lebanese Science Journal, 7: 3–8.
- Bruneton J., 1993. Pharmacognosie, phytochimie, plantes médicinales. 2e édition, Tec et Doc., Lavoisier, Paris, 915 pp.
- Camejo-Rodrigues J.S., Ascensao L., Bonet M.A. & Valles J., 2003. An Ethnobotanical Study of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants in the Natural Park of Serra de São Mamede (Portugal). Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 89: 199–209.
- Djeddi S. & Boutaleb H., 2014. Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial potentials of *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. from Mahouna mountain (Algeria). Journal of Advanced Sciences and Engineering Technologies, 1: 60–63
- Fong H.S. & Bauman J.L., 2002. Hawthorn. Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 16: 1–8.
- Hamdaoui M., Mehdadi Z. & Chalane F., 2015. Evaluation quantitative de quelques polysaccharides pariétaux et polyphénols chez l'aubépine monogyne (*Crataegus monogyna* Jacq.) du Mont de Tessala (Algérie Occidentale). European Journal of Scientific Research, 128: 233–244.
- João C.M., Barreira J.C.M., Rodrigues S., Carvalho A.M. & Ferreira I.C.F.R., 2013. Development of hydro-soluble gels with *Crataegus monogyna* extracts for topical application: Evaluation of antioxidant activity of the final formulations. Industrial Crops and Products, 42: 175–180.
- Keser S., Celik S., Turkoglu S., Yilmaz O. & Turkoglu I., 2014. L'étude de certains composés bioactifs et des propriétés antioxydantes de l'aubépine (*Crataegus monogyna* subsp. *monogyna* Jacq.). International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 3: 51–55.
- Mohand A.Y., 2006. Plantes médicinales de Kabylie (préface du docteur Jean-Philippe Brette). Ibis Press, Paris, 349 pp.
- Neves J.M., Matosa C., Moutinho C., Queiroz G. & Gomes L.R., 2009. Ethnopharmacological notes about ancient uses of medicinal plants in Trás-os-

- Montes (northern of Portugal). Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 124: 270–283.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2009.04.041>
- Novais H.M., Santos I., Mendes S. & Pinto-Gomes C.J., 2004. Studies on pharmaceutical ethnobotany in Arribida Natural Park (Portugal). Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 9: 183–192.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2004.02.015>
- Oyaizu M., 1986. Studies on products of browning reactions: antioxidative activities of browning reaction prepared from glucosamine. Japanese Journal of Nutrition, 44: 307–315.
- Özyürek M., Bener M., Güçlü K., Dönmez A.A., Süzgeç-Selçuk S., Pirıldar S. & Meriçli A.H., 2012. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity of *Crataegus* Species Collected from Different Regions of Turkey. Records of Natural Products, 6: 263–277.
- Özyürek M., Güçlü K. & Apak R., 2011. The main and modified CUPRAC methods of antioxidant measurement. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 30: 652–664.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2010.11.016>
- Philips A., Philips S., Arul V., Padmakeerthiga B., Renju V. & Santha S., 2010. Free radical scavenging activity of leaf extracts of *Indigofera aspalathoides* - An in vitro analysis. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 2: 322–328.
- Ponce A., Fritz R., del Valle C.E. & Roura S.I., 2003. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils on the native microflora of organic Swiss chard. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und Technologie, 36: 679–684.
- Quézel P. & Santa S., 1962–1963. Nouvelle Flore de l'Algérie et des régions désertiques méridionales. 2 Tomes, Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, 1170 pp.
- Re R., Pellegrini N., Proteggente A., Pannala A., Yang M. & Rice-Evans C., 1999. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cationdecolorization assay. Free Radical Biology and Medicine, 26: 1231–1237.
- Shi H., Noguchi N. & Niki E., 2001. Galvinoxyl method for standardizing electron and proton donation activity. Methods in Enzymology, 335: 157–166.
- Simirgiotis M.J., 2013. Antioxidant Capacity and HPLC-DAD-MS Profiling of Chilean Peumo (*Cryptocarya alba*) Fruits and Comparison with German Peumo (*Crataegus monogyna*) from Southern Chile. Molecules, 18: 2061–2080.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules18022061>
- Singleton V.L. & Rossi J.A.J., 1965. Colorimetry of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid reagents. American Journal of Enology and Viticulture, 16: 144–158.
- Sokół-Łetowska A., Oszmianski J. & Wojdyło A., 2007. Antioxidant activity of the phenolic compounds of hawthorn, pine and skullcap. Food chemistry, 103: 853–859.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.09.036>
- Szydłowska-Czerniaka A., Dianoczki C., Recseg K., Karlovits G. & Szlyk E., 2008. Determination of antioxidant capacities of vegetable oils by ferric-ion spectrophotometric methods. Talanta, 76: 899–905.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2008.04.055>
- Topcu G., Ay A., Bilici A., Sarıkürkcü C., Öztürk M. & Ulubelen A., 2007. A new flavone from antioxidant extracts of *Pistacia terebinthus*. Food chemistry, 103: 816–822.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.09.028>
- Vikrant A. & Narendra T., 2012. Standardisation of haw fruits. American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research, 7: 16–22
- Zhang Z., Chang Q., Zhu M., Huang Y., Ho W.K. & Chen Z.Y., 2001. Characterization of antioxidants present in hawthorn fruits. Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry, 12: 144–152.